

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Paul G. Cassell (6078)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Counsel for Defendants

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DONALD BALL, DUSTIN HEARD,
NICHOLAS SLATTEN, PAUL SLOUGH,
AND EVAN LIBERTY,

Defendants.

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
FINDING OF NO PROBABLE CAUSE
DUE TO ABSENCE OF SUBJECT
MATTER JURISDICTION AND
LACK OF VENUE PURSUANT TO 18
U.S.C. §§ 3261 ET SEQ.**

Case No.:

Magistrate Judge Paul Warner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

INTRODUCTION.....1

A. There is No Jurisdiction Here2

B. There is No Venue in Washington, DC3

FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....4

A. Defendants had Contracts with the Department of State, Not the Department of Defense.....4

B. The Relevant Contracts5

1. The State Department WPPS II Contract5

2. The Blackwater Independent Contractor Service Agreement6

3. State Department Letters of Authorization.....6

C. Venue.....7

ARGUMENT12

I. THERE IS NO PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THERE IS JURISDICTION FOR THIS PROSECUTION12

A. Under the Act, It is the Duty of the Magistrate Judge to Determine Probably Cause for Jurisdiction12

1. Standards of Statutory Construction13

2. The Rule of Lenity13

B. The Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act.....14

C. The Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act Does Not Encompass the Defendants, whose Contract Employment by the State Department Did Not Support the Mission of the Department of Defense.....17

1. The Plain Language of the Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act Does Not Reach the Defendants17

2. If Relevant, the Legislative History of the Military Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Act Demonstrates the Absence of Jurisdiction.....	20
3. In the Event of Statutory Ambiguity, the Rule of Lenity Would Apply	23
II. THE VENUE ALLEGATIONS IN THE INDICTMENT ARE NOT SUPPORTED AS VENUE DOES NOT LIE IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	25
A. Ridgeway Was Not “Arrested” Within The Meaning Of § 3238 When He Was Handed An Arrest Warrant On His Way To Court	26
1. The Venue Statute Must be Construed to Protect the Constitutional Rights of the Defendants.....	26
2. Ridgeway Was Not “Arrested” Because His Liberty Was Not Restrained	27
3. Any “Arrest” Was a Sham That Should Not be Given Any Substantive Legal Effect.....	29
B. Ridgeway Was Not A “Joint Offender” Of The Defendants Before The Court.....	30
1. “Joint Offender” Status Is Determined at the Time of an Offender’s Arrest	31
2. When He Was Arrested, Ridgeway Was Not a Joint Offender of the Defendants	33
3. The Recently-Returned Indictment Does Not Transform Ridgeway into a Joint Offender with the Defendants Now Facing Trial.....	34
C. The Venue In This Case Was “Manufactured” And Therefore Does Not Create A Right For The Government To Try The Case In The District Of Columbia.....	35
D. Because Venue Is Plainly Lacking In The District Of Columbia, There Is No Probable Cause For This Case To Proceed	39
CONCLUSION	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Bd. of County Comm'rs v. Umbehr</i> , 518 U.S. 668 (1996).....	38
<i>Chandler v. United States</i> , 171 F.2d 921 (1st Cir. 1948).....	33
<i>Dupoint v. United States</i> , 388 F.2d 39 (5th Cir. 1967)	38
<i>EEOC v. Arabian Am. Oil Co.</i> , 499 U.S. 244 (1991).....	14
<i>Ex parte McCardle</i> , 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1868)	13
<i>Knowles v. Iowa</i> , 525 U.S. 113 (1998).....	29
<i>Ladner v. United States</i> , 358 U.S. 169 (1958).....	23
<i>Lamie v. U.S. Trustee</i> , 540 U.S. 526 (2004).....	17
<i>Lefkowitz v. Turley</i> , 414 U.S. 70 (1973).....	38
<i>McSparran v. Weist</i> , 402 F.2d 867 (3d Cir. 1968).....	36
<i>Sale v. Haitian Ctrs. Council</i> , 509 U.S. 155 (1993).....	14
<i>Smith v. United States</i> , 507 U.S. 197 (1993).....	14
<i>Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env't</i> , 523 U.S. 83 (1998).....	12

<i>United States v. Al-Talib</i> , 55 F.3d 923 (4th Cir. 1995)	36, 37
<i>United States v. Archer</i> , 486 F.2d 670 (2d Cir. 1973).....	36
<i>United States v. Bass</i> , 404 U.S. 336 (1971).....	23
<i>United States v. Catino</i> , 735 F.2d 718 (2d Cir. 1984).....	29
<i>United States v. Delgado</i> , 357 F.3d 1061 (9th Cir. 2004)	34
<i>United States v. Erdos</i> , 474 F.2d 157 (4th Cir. 1973)	27
<i>United States v. Gatlin</i> , 216 F.3d 207 (2d Cir. 2000).....	14
<i>United States v. Gay</i> , 240 F.3d 1222 (10th Cir. 2001)	13, 23
<i>United States v. Henderson</i> , 536 F.3d 776 (7th Cir. 2008)	29
<i>United States v. Husted</i> , 545 F.3d 1240 (10th Cir. 2008)	13, 20
<i>United States v. Jackson</i> , 390 U.S. 570 (1968).....	38
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 323 U.S. 273 (1944).....	27
<i>United States v. Kelly</i> , 535 F.3d 1229 (10th Cir. 2008)	27, 39
<i>United States v. Lanier</i> , 520 U.S. 259 (1997).....	13
<i>United States v. Layton</i> , 855 F.2d 1388 (9th Cir. 1988)	27

<i>United States v. Levy Auto Parts, of Canada</i> , 787 F.2d 946 (4th Cir. 1986)	<i>passim</i>
<i>United States v. Lewis</i> , 676 F.2d 508 (11th Cir. 1982)	36
<i>United States v. Manning</i> , 526 F.3d 611 (10th Cir. 2008), <i>cert. petition filed</i> (Oct. 20, 2008)	13, 20
<i>United States v. Miller</i> , 111 F.3d 747 (10th Cir. 1997)	27, 39
<i>United States v. Myers</i> , 692 F.2d 823 (2d Cir. 1982).....	35
<i>United States v. Ochoa-Colchado</i> , 521 F.3d 1292 (10th Cir. 2008)	14
<i>United States v. Onheiber</i> , 173 F.3d 1254 (10th Cir. 1999)	23
<i>United States v. Pace</i> , 314 F.3d 344 (9th Cir. 2002)	28
<i>United States v. Provoo</i> , 215 F.2d 531 (2d Cir. 1954).....	29, 30
<i>United States v. Rodriguez-Rodriguez</i> , 453 F.3d 458 (7th Cir. 2006)	36
<i>United States v. Santos</i> , 128 S. Ct. 2020 (2008).....	13
<i>United States v. Smith</i> , 423 F.3d 25 (1st Cir. 2005).....	28
<i>United States v. Weidner</i> , 437 F.3d 1023 (2006), <i>aff'd in part and rev'd in part</i> , 528 F.3d 1280 (10th Cir. 2008).....	13
<i>United States v. Wharton</i> , 320 F.3d 526 (5th Cir. 2004)	27
<i>United States v. Wiltberger</i> , 18 U.S. (5 Wheat.) 76 (1820).....	23, 24

ACTS

Pub. L. No. 106-523, § 2(a), 114 Stat. 2448, 249115
Pub. L. No. 108-375, 118 Stat. 2066 (2004).....2

CONSTITUTIONS

U.S. Const. amend. VI27
U.S. Const. art. III, § 226, 38

STATUTES

18 U.S.C. § 714
18 U.S.C. § 37131, 32
18 U.S.C. § 111237
18 U.S.C. § 111333
18 U.S.C. § 3238 *passim*
18 U.S.C. § 3261 *passim*
18 U.S.C. § 3265 *passim*
18 U.S.C. § 326615, 21
18 U.S.C. § 3267 *passim*

LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS

150 Cong. Rec. S6863 (daily ed. June 16, 2004).....21
S. Rep. No. 88-146 (1963), *reprinted in* 1963 U.S.C.C.A.N. 660 (1963).....33

RULES

Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.17, 12, 39

BOOKS AND ARTICLES

Congressional Budget Office, *Contractors' Support of U.S. Operations in Iraq* 24
(Aug. 2008).....22